Correspondence

A lipid-binding domain in Wnt: a case of mistaken identity? Michael R. Barnes* and Robert B. Russell[†]

Several groups have recently presented convincing evidence for extensive membrane localisation during signalling between the secreted glycoprotein Wnt and its cell-surface receptor Frizzled ([1] and references therein). Aravind and Koonin [2] extended this by identifying a colipase-like domain in the Wnt antagonist Dickkopf. They suggested that this domain might be necessary for lipophilic interactions leading to membrane association during Wnt antagonism. They identified this colipase domain with PSI-BLAST [3], which found several highly conserved cysteine and other residues shared between the cysteine-rich Dickkopf protein and the colipase. This homology was subsequently backed up with a convincing alignment and a consideration of the known structure of the colipase. Recently, Reichsman et al. [4] have proposed a similar lipid-binding and membranelocalisation role in a region of Wnt proteins. Using BLAST, they identified a putative homology between the Wnt carboxyl terminus and the phospholipase A2 (PLA2) lipid-binding domain. Here, we

analyse a diverse set of Wnt homologues and the known structures of PLA2s and argue against homology between Wnts and PLA2s.

PLA2s are a diverse superfamily of proteins. Three-dimensional structures are known for at least 15 species [5], and analysis of a structure-based sequence alignment [6] shows much variation across vertebrate and invertebrate PLA2s. Nevertheless, all known PLA2s contain a common core (boxes in Figure 1), comprising a calcium binding loop, two alpha helices and three conserved disulphide bonds (solid lines in Figure 1). The alignment of Reichsman et al. [4] corresponds to approximately half of the PLA2 core structure, and there is insufficient sequence in Wnt



Alscript [9] figure showing alignment of a selection of the carboxyl termini of Wnt homologues (top), PLA2s (middle) and DSSP [10] secondary structure assignments for the PLA2s (bottom; magenta arrows denote β strands; blue cylinders denote alpha helices). The alignment of Wnt homologues was performed using Clustal X [11]; alignment of PLA2 structures was performed with STAMP [6]. Boxed regions within the PLA2 homologues indicate regions of structural similarity [6]. Residue positions are coloured to denote conservation across Wnt or PLA2 sequences: red, polar; yellow background, hydrophobic; blue background, small; red background, cysteines. Disulphide pairings for PLA2s, taken from inspection of

the three-dimensional structures, are shown below the alignment. Solid lines denote those that are common to all PLA2s shown; dashed lines denote those that are variable or not present in all structures. Note that some pairings involve cysteines that are not shown. A putative disulphide bond in Wnts, based on correlated Cys mutations, is shown as a dashed line above the alignment. Residues known to be involved in calcium binding in PLA2 are indicated by 'c' below the PLA2 sequences. Note that the Lys (K) at position 38 in sequence 1ppa is a sitedirected mutation from Asp (D). Numbers within the sequences indicate sequence regions that have been deleted for clarity. Numbers given above the alignment refer to

alignment position, and are meant only as a guide to the location of a particular set of aligned residues. Abbreviations and accession numbers: jWnt1, Human Wnt-1, P04628; hWnt8b, Human Wnt-8b, CAA71968; cewnt1, *Caenorhabditis elegans* Wnt-1, P34888; cewnt2, *C. elegans* Wnt-2, P34889; ceegl20, *C. elegans* egl20; AAD03603; celin44, *C. elegans* Lin44, A57234; cemom2, *C. elegans* mom2, AAC47749; DWnt4, *Drosophila melanogaster* DWnt4, P40589. PLA2 homologues are denoted by their four letter PDB [12] accession code, suffixed with a chain identifier where necessary.

Figure 1

homologues to form the carboxyterminal portion of the PLA2 core. The alignment also shows that Wnts lack two pairings for the core disulphide bonds and an invariant calcium-coordinating aspartic acid residue (position 38 in Figure 1). Moreover, alignment of Wnt sequences to PLA2 places insertions in the first alpha helix, which would probably cause severe disruptions to the protein core. Few positions show conservation of residue properties (for example hydrophobic or small side-chain), and several cysteines found in Wnt homologues cannot easily be paired when put on to the PLA2 structure. We argue that these problems make homology and structural similarity between Wnt and PLA2 suspect. Instead, we suggest that the carboxy-terminal region of Wnt will adopt a different disulphide-rich structure. We cannot rule out the possibility that this region in Wnt is involved in lipid binding, but if this does occur, we suggest that it is likely to occur by a different mechanism.

The proposed homology between Wnt and PLA2 illustrates a common problem in the interpretation of results from sequence searches. The fact that the gapped BLAST [3] expect (E) value is $\sim 10^{-3}$ probably reflects a fortuitous match of cysteines. Exchange matrices used in programs like gapped BLAST (for example [7]) are largely derived from globular proteins, which usually contain few disulphide bonds. Extracellular, small, disulphide-rich proteins form an important subset of proteins for which alignments of cysteines should be treated differently. Mistaken homologies like that between PLA2 and Wnt and others (for example [8]) suggest that there is a pressing need to address this problem.

Phospholipases A2 and Wnts are unlikely to share a common ancestor Richard R. Copley^{*}, Chris P. Ponting[†] and Peer Bork^{*}

Reichsman et al. [4] recently suggested that a carboxy-terminal region of Wingless/Wnt-1 and a lipidbinding domain found in class I and class II secreted phospholipases A2 (sPLA2s) are homologues. This proposal was based on 30-40% sequence identities among pairs of sequences approximately 50 amino acids in length drawn from both families and, additionally, the apparently significant expection (E) values from gapped BLASTP2 database searches. We were interested in evaluating further the significance of this intriguing prediction, given that pairs of sequences with 50 alignment positions in common can be structurally dissimilar, and therefore non-homologous, at up to 45% pairwise sequence identity [13].

Crystal structures show that the region of sPLA2 enzymes predicted to be homologous to Wingless/Wnt-1 corresponds to a non-compact aminoterminal fragment of the sPLA2 structure [14,15]. Moreover, the region covers only one of the two core alpha helices that are conserved between insect and vertebrate phospholipase A2 families [5,16]. As the region of proposed homology occurs at the carboxyl terminus of Wingless/Wnt-1, there is no possibility of extending the alignment to include these missing residues. The vertebrate sPLA2 structure contains seven disulphide bridges. Of these, only one is entirely contained within the suggested alignment of sPLA2 and Wnt-1. This means that either the remaining conserved cysteine residues in the

proposed Wingless/Wnt-1 structure are unpaired or their disulphidebridge pairings are not conserved among homologues. This scenario is unprecedented among conserved extracellular domain families. From this structural evidence, we conclude that the proposed region of homology with sPLA2 in Wingless/Wnt-1 represents an incomplete structural domain. As such, it is unlikely to fold in isolation.

Sequence similarity between sPLA2 enzymes and Wingless/Wnt-1 is mostly localised to cysteines that cannot participate in conserved disulphide bridges. Thus we conclude that the observed sequence similarities represent a random coincidence in the spacing of cysteine residues that are conserved separately by two distinct sequence families. This analysis indicates that the limited sequence similarities between sPLA2 enzymes and Wingless/Wnt-1 are not indicative of either a structural similarity or a common ancestry (homology). Consequently, we suggest that functional inferences based on the proposal of their homology should be treated with caution.

Addresses: *Biocomputing, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Meyerhofstrasse 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany. [†]National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Building 38A, Bethesda, Maryland 20894 USA. E-mail: bork@embl-heidelberg.de

Susan Cumberledge, Frieda Reichsman and Helen M. Moore respond:

The alignments of sPLA2s and Wnts of Reichsman *et al.* [4] and Barnes and Russell [17] were generated using two different paradigms. Barnes and Russell compared the physico-chemical properties of the amino acid residues; we used the

Address: Bioinformatics, SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals, New Frontiers Science Park (North), 3rd Avenue, Harlow, Essex CM19 5AW, UK. E-mail: *Michael_R_Barnes @sbphrd.com

[†]Rob_Russell-1@sbphrd.com

Gonnet PAM 250 substitution matrix to evaluate amino acid similarities. PAM (percentage of acceptable point mutations) matrices have been widely recommended for detecting related proteins [18-20]. These substitution matrices are based on evolutionary relationships between proteins and are generated by analyzing point mutations in closely related proteins. Using the PAM matrices, we find that sPLA2 App-K49 residues 9-61 are more similar to the carboxy-terminal portion of Wingless than to the corresponding bovine sPLA2 sequences (44% versus 36% similarity). A total of 24 residues are conserved between sPLA2 and Wingless; 7 of these are cysteines. Note that App-K49 lacks the conserved Ala49, does not bind Ca²⁺ and has no catalytic activity, yet retains the ability to bind membranes and disrupt phospholipid vesicles [21].

The first 60 residues of sPLA2 form the helix-loop-helix backbone that constitutes much of the lipidbinding pocket. The amino-terminal helix and loop are important for interfacial membrane binding. whereas residues in helix 2 contribute to the active site. As the rest of the App-K49 sequence has no similarity to Wingless, the two protein families probably have very different tertiary structures. Sitedirected mutagenesis studies on sPLA2 [22] have shown that of the seven conserved disulphide bonds, only one, Cys29-Cys45, is required for lipase activity. Cys29 and Cys45 correspond to Cys428 and Cys445 in Wingless. These cysteines are conserved in the Wnts, and Cys445 is required for Wingless activity. Nonetheless, we agree that it is difficult to evaluate the significance of these sequence similarities without more information on Wnt function and structure.

Address: Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, USA E-mail: susanc@biochem.umass.edu

References

- Reichsman F, Smith L, Cumberledge S: Glycosaminoglycans can modulate extracellular localization of the wingless protein and promote signal transduction. J Cell Biol 1996, 135:819-827.
- 2 Aravind L, Koonin EV: A colipase fold in the carboxy-terminal domain of the Wnt antagonists - the Dickkopfs. Curr Biol 1998, 8:R477-R478
- 3 Altschul SF, MaddenTL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ: Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 1997, 25:3389-3402.
- Reichsman F, Moore HM, Cumberledge S: Sequence homology between Wingless/Wnt-1 and a lipid-binding domain in secreted phospholipase A2. Curr Biol 1999, 9:R353-R355
- Murzin AG, Brenner SE, Hubbard TJ, Chothia C: SCOP: a structural classification of proteins. J Mol Biol 1995, 247:536-540
- Russell RB, Barton GJ: Multiple protein 6. sequence alignment from tertiary structure comparison: assignment of global and residue confidence levels. Proteins 1992, 14:309-323.
- 7 Henikoff S. Henikoff IG: Amino acid substitution matrices from protein blocks. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992. 89:10915-10919
- Johansson J, Curstedt T, Jornvall H: 8 Surfactant protein B: disulfide bridges, structural properties and kringle similarities. Biochemistry 1991 30:6917-6921
- 9 Barton GJ: ALSCRIPT: a tool to format multiple sequence alignments. Protein Eng 1993, 6:37-40.
- 10. Kabsch W, Sander C: Dictionary of protein secondary structure: pattern recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features. Biopolymers 1983, 22:2577-2637.
- 11. Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG: The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res 1997, 25:4876-4882.

- 12. Bernstein FC, Koetzle TF, Williams GJ, Meyer Jr EE, Brice MD, Rodgers JR, Kennard O. Shimanouchi T. Tasumi M: The Protein Data Bank: a computer-based archival file for macromolecular structures. I Mol Biol 1977 112:535-542
- 13. Rost B: Twilight zone of protein sequence alignments. Protein Eng 1999, 12:85-94
- 14. Dijkstra BW, Drenth J, Kalk KH: Active site and catalytic mechanism of phospholipase A2. Nature 1981. 289:604-606
- 15. Holland DR, Clancy LL, Muchmore SW, Ryde TJ, Einspahr HM, Finzel BC Heinrikson RL, Watenpaugh KD: The crystal structure of a lysine 49 phospholipase A2 from the venom of the cottonmouth snake at 2.0 Å resolution. J Biol Chem 1990, 265:17649-17656.
- 16. Scott DL, Otwinowski Z, Gelb MH, Sigler PB: Complex structure of bee-venom phospholipase A2 in a complex with a transition-state analogue. Science 1990, 250:1563-1566
- 17. Barnes MR, Russell RB: A lipid-binding domain in Wnt: a case of mistaken identity? Curr Biol 1999, 9:R717-R718.
- 18. Schwartz RM, Dayhoff MO: In Atlas of Protein Sequence and Structure. Edited by Dayhoff MO. Washington, DC: National Biomedical Research Foundation; 1978, 5:353-362.
- 19. Collins JF, Coulson AFW, Lyall A: The significance of protein sequence similarities. Comp App Biosci 1988, 4:67-71
- 20. Altschul S: Amino acid substitution matrices from an information theoretic perspective. J Mol Biol 1991, 219:555-565.
- 21. Dennis EA: The growing phospholipase A2 superfamily of signal transduction enzymes. Trends Biochem Sci 1997, 22:1-2
- 22. Zho H, Dupureur CM, Zhang X, Tsai MD: Phospholipase A2 engineering. The roles of disulfide bonds in structure. conformational stability, and catalytic function. Biochemistry 1995, 34:15307-15314.

The *Current Biology* photomicrography competition



If you're producing great photomicrographs, and you'd like the chance to have them featured in *Current Biology*, look out for more details of the Current Biology photomicrography competition in forthcoming issues.