

Landscape Research (ZALF), 15374 Müncheberg, Germany. ²Climate Policy and Finance, Sustainable Food Systems Team, Decision and Policy Analysis Research Area, International Center for Tropical Agriculture, Headquarters and Regional Office for Latin America and the Caribbean, Cali 763537, Colombia. ³Department of Crop Production Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, 75007 Uppsala, Sweden.

*Corresponding author. Email: luca.eufemia@zalf.de

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. N. Clerici *et al.*, *Science*, **354**, 190 (2016).
- P. Valenzuela, S. Caicedo, in *Routledge Handbook of Environmental Conflict and Peacebuilding*, A. Swain, J. Öjendal, Eds. (Routledge, London, 2018), pp. 245–253.
 L. R. Carrasco, T. P. Le Nghiem, Z. Chen, E. B. Barbier, *Sci.*
- Adv. **3**, e1602602 (2017).
- 4. Presidency of the Republic of Colombia, "Bases del Plan Nacional de Desarrollo 2018–2022" (2019); https:// colaboracion.dnp.gov.co/CDT/Prensa/PND-2018-2022-Interactivo.pdf [in Spanish].
- Instituto de Hidrologia, Meteorologia, y Estudios Ambientales, "Resultados Monitoreo de la Deforestacion (2017); http://documentacion.ideam.gov.co/openbiblio/ bvirtual/023835/Resultados_Monitoreo_Deforestacion_ 2017.pdf.
- 6. H. R. Grau, M. Aide, Ecol. Soc. 13, 16 (2008).
- Ministry of the Environment of the Republic of Colombia, "Colombia finaliza el proceso de ratificación del Acuerdo de París frente al cambio climático" (2018); www.minambiente.gov.co/index.php/ noticias/4013-colombia-finaliza-el-proceso-deratificacion-del-acuerdo-de-paris-frente-al-cambioclimatico [in Spanish].
- "Colombia, Germany, Norway and the UK announce groundbreaking partnership to protect Colombia's rainforest (2015); https://www.regjeringen.no/en/aktuelt/ colombia/id2464811/.
- Supreme Court of Justice of Colombia, "Corte Suprema ordena protección inmediata de la Amazonía Colombiana" (2018); www.cortesuprema.gov.co/corte/index. php/2018/04/05/corte-suprema-ordena-proteccioninmediata-de-la-amazonia-colombiana/ [in Spanish].

- Departamento Nacional de Planeación DNP, "Tiempos de implementación del Plan Nacional de Desarrollo" (www.dnp.gov.co/Plan-Nacional-de-Desarrollo/Paginas/ Tiempos-de-implementacion-del-Plan-Nacional-de-Desarrollo.aspx) [in Spanish].
- Dejusticia, "#CambiemosLaMeta: Solicitamos que se modifique la meta de deforestación propuesta en el Plan Nacional de Desarrollo" (2019); www.dejusticia.org/ solicitamos-que-modifique-la-tasa-de-deforestacionpropuesta-en-el-plan-nacional-de-desarrollo/ [in Spanish].

10.1126/science.aax3494

Consent insufficient for data release

In their Policy Forum "Toward unrestricted use of public genomic data" (25 January, p. 350), R. I. Amann et al. argue that once data has been cleared for release to the public domain by institutions, it should be open for use without further restrictions. However, they neglect the key point that researchers and their institutions are entrusted by research participants, funders, and others with weighing the pros and cons of public data release. By suggesting that informed consent can provide a straightforward path to data release, they overlook evidence that once people understand their options, only a little more than half opt for open data sharing, and some refuse data sharing altogether (1, 2).

This evidence further shows that some research participants have concerns that uses of their data might not fit with their norms or values or might disadvantage certain populations. Despite their openness to wide use, they do not think ethics review and informed consent are sufficient to remove restrictions on the release of sensitive human data. Simply put, informed consent is a necessary, but far from sufficient condition for data sharing (3, 4).

Equally important, Amann et al.'s proposal for data sharing through openaccess databases does not reflect funders' policies. Rather, funders expect and, in some cases, mandate that researchers adopt specific organizational measures to safeguard personal data. For example, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) policy on genomic data sharing explicitly requires that data generators develop genomic data sharing plans and data users submit their requests to data access committees for review (5). The imperative for adequate data governance has also been stressed by other major funding agencies, such as the Wellcome Trust Expert Advisory Group on Data Access (6).

Admittedly, poorly designed regulation can stifle legitimate genomic data sharing that promotes the public good. Regulatory frameworks do, however, serve critical purposes, including ensuring consideration of the intricate ethical, legal, social, and political concerns inherent in many aspects of science, including genomics. Amann et al.'s uncritical use of the notion of "openness" suggests that once data have been made open, their use is unaffected by structural issues such as the unequal distribution of power and influence. This is particularly problematic in cases of for-profit enterprises that are not accountable to the public (7). Although Amann et al.'s suggestions seem emancipatory and respectful of ethical concerns, their proposal overlooks the wider political economy in which data use is embedded and conflates ethics with a rather formulaic adherence to legal and institutional guidelines and consent forms.

This complex challenge cannot be solved with a single model for data sharing governance. The currently favored model of controlled-access data sharing adopted by NIH and others is far from perfect (8). Better alternatives are emerging, such as the Global Alliance for Genomics & Health's Beacon federated model for data sharing (9). We need approaches to data sharing that address, on a case-by-case basis, how public release of data affects distribution of burdens and benefits across and within populations.

Dianne Nicol^{1*}, Lisa Eckstein¹, Heidi Beate Bentzen^{2,3}, Pascal Borry⁴, Mike Burgess⁵, Wylie Burke⁶, Don Chalmers¹, Mildred Cho⁷, Edward Dove⁸, Stephanie Fullerton⁶, Ryuchi Ida⁹, Kazuto Kato¹⁰, Jane Kaye¹¹, Barbara Koenig¹², Spero Manson¹³, Kimberlyn McGrail⁵, Eric Meslin¹⁴, Kieran O'Doherty¹⁵, Barbara Prainsack¹⁶, Mahsa Shabani¹⁷, Holly Tabor⁷, Adrian Thorogood¹⁸, Jantina de Vries¹⁹

¹Law Faculty, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia. ²Norwegian Research Center for Computers and Law, Faculty of Law, University of Oslo, 0130 Oslo, Norway. ³Centre for Medical Ethics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, 0318 Oslo, Norway. ⁴Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium 5School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z3, Canada. 6 School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA. 7Centre for Biomedical Ethics, Stanford Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. 8School of Law, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9YL, UK. 9Shiga University, Shiga 522-8522, Japan. ¹⁰Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. 11 Centre for Health, Law, and Emergent Technologies, University of Oxford, Oxford, $U\widetilde{K}.$ $^{12}School of Nursing, University of$ California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA. 13Colorado School of Public Health, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO 80045, USA. ¹⁴Council of Canadian Academies, Ottawa, ON K2P 2K3, Canada. ¹⁵Department of Psychology, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON N1G 2WY, Canada. ¹⁶Department of Political Science, University of Vienna, 1140 Vienna, Austria. 17Centre for Biomedical Ethics and Law, University of Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium.

AAAS<u>Travels</u>

Members and friends of AAAS are invited to join AAAS Travels on fascinating trips to all 7 contintents!

Discover Egypt November 3-17, 2019



Discover the amazing antiquities and colossal monuments of Egypt. Visit the fabulous new Alexandria Library and National Museum, the great stone ramparts of the Temple of Ramses II at Abu Simbel, and the ultimate monuments of the Old Kingdom, the Great Pyramids and Sphinx ! Explore the Valley of the Kings and cruise on the Nile. Delight in the exquisite Nubia and Luxor Museums. \$4,995 pp + air.

NEPAL November 4-18, 2019



Join us as we explore Nepal, one of Asia's most enchanting and scenic lands! Nepal offers an unsurpassed wealth of ecological and cultural diversity. Highlights include the Kathmandu Valley, the tranquil landscapes of Pokhara, the birthplace of Buddha in Lumbini, and the wildlife of Chitwan National Park. You may go flightseeing past Everest the last day for an extraordinary look at the world's highest peak ! \$ 3,995 + air + optional Everest flight

For a detailed brochure, please call (800) 252-4910 All prices are p<u>er person twin share + air</u>

BETCHART EXPEDITIONS Inc. 17050 Montebello Rd Cupertino, California 95014 Email: AAASInfo@betchartexpeditions.com www.betchartexpeditions.com ¹⁸Centre of Genomics Policy, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 0G1, Canada. ¹⁹Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town 7925, South Africa.

*Corresponding author.

Email: dianne.nicol@utas.edu.au All authors sign this letter in their personal capacities, not as spokespersons for any institution or organization with which they are affiliated.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- A. L. McGuire et al., Genet. Med. 13, 948 (2011).
 N. Shah et al., Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 10.1038/s41431-019-0344-2 (2019)
- 3. J. Teng *et al.*, *Intl. J. Pop. Data Sci.* **3**, 364 (2018).
- R.E.McWhirter *et al.*, *J. Pers. Med.* **4**, 459 (2014).
- NIH, NIH GDS Policies (https://osp.od.nih.gov/
- scientific-sharing/policies/).
- Expert Advisory Group on Data Access, "Governance of data access" (2015); https://wellcome.ac.uk/sites/ default/files/governance-of-data-access-eagdajun15.pdf.
- S. Zuboff, The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for the Future at the New Frontier of Power (PublicAffairs, 2019).
- D. Mascalzoni *et al.*, *Ann. Intern. Med.* **170**, 332 (2019).
 The Global Alliance for Genomics and Health, *Science* **352**,
- 1278 (2016). 10.1126/science.aax0892

Response

Nicol et al. make insightful comments on issues related to data sharing and ethics, regulations, and imbalance of power. We largely share their concerns, and we did indeed mention some of them briefly in our Policy Forum. Our discussion pertained to all types of data, many or most of which do not include any personal information or do not involve individuals or humans in any way. For example, environmental genomics data generated through public funding should become available shortly after generation and should enjoy unrestricted usage. As we acknowledged in the Policy Forum, when it comes to personal data, issues of privacy, confidentiality, and informed consent need to be considered carefully and the best arrangements may vary on a case-by-case basis. It makes sense to anticipate these issues before data collection begins and to aim for research designs and informed consent forms that allow maximal, prompt, open use of valuable data.

We agree with Nicol *et al.* that public release of data may affect distribution of burdens and benefits across and within populations, and this is something that should be closely monitored. However, we think that usually more openness would diminish the inadvertent concentration of informational power in the hands of select for-profit enterprises that may wish to hoard data for their own advantage. Conversely, the public release of data may offer more value for the public and more widely distributed benefits of science. **Rudolf I. Amann, Shakuntala Baichoo, Benjamin J. Blencowe, Peer Bork,** Mark Borodovsky, Cath Brooksbank, Patrick S. G. Chain, Rita R. Colwell, Daniele G. Daffonchio, Antoine Danchin, Victor de Lorenzo, Pieter C. Dorrestein, Robert D. Finn, Claire M. Fraser, Jack A. Gilbert, Steven J. Hallam, Philip Hugenholtz, John P. A. Ioannidis*, Janet K. Jansson, Jihyun F. Kim, Hans-Peter Klenk, Martin G. Klotz, Rob Knight, Konstantinos T. Konstantinidis, Nikos C. Kyrpides, Christopher E. Mason, Alice C. McHardy, Folker Meyer, Christos A. Ouzounis, Aristides A. N. Patrinos, Mircea Podar, Katherine S. Pollard, Jacques Ravel, Alejandro Reyes Muñoz, Richard J. Roberts, Ramon Rosselló-Móra, Susanna-Assunta Sansone, Patrick D. Schloss, Lynn M. Schriml, João C. Setubal, Rotem Sorek, Rick L. Stevens, James M. Tiedje, Adrian Turjanski, Gene W. Tyson, David W. Ussery, George M. Weinstock, Owen White, William B. Whitman, Ioannis Xenarios

*Corresponding author. Email: jioannid@stanford.edu The list of author affiliations is available at www. sciencemag.org/content/363/6425/350/suppl/DC1.

10.1126/science.aax7509

TECHNICAL COMMENT ABSTRACTS

Comment on "Quantifying hot carrier and thermal contributions in plasmonic photocatalysis"

Yonatan Sivan, Joshua Baraban, Ieng Wai Un, Yonatan Dubi

Zhou *et al.* (Reports, 5 October 2018, p. 69) claim to have proven dominance of "hot" electrons over thermal effects in plasmonic photocatalysis. We identify experimental flaws that caused overestimation of the hot carrier contribution. As an alternative interpretation, we fully reproduce their data using a purely thermal Arrhenius law with a fixed activation energy and intensitydependent heating.

Full text: dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw9367

Response to Comment on "Quantifying hot carrier and thermal contributions in plasmonic photocatalysis"

Linan Zhou, Dayne F. Swearer, Hossein Robatjazi, Alessandro Alabastri, Phillip Christopher, Emily A. Carter, Peter Nordlander, Naomi J. Halas Sivan *et al.* claim that the methods used to distinguish thermal from hot carrier effects in our recent report are inaccurate and that our data can be explained by a purely thermal mechanism with a fixed activation energy. This conclusion is invalid, because they substantially misinterpret the emissivity of the photocatalyst and assume a linear intensity–dependent temperature in their model that is unrealistic.

Full text: dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw9545